

Faculty Member: Miller, Joshua	Course Name: Plane Trigonometry	Course #: MATH 150
Hr./Days: 1230 T On Campus	College: Arts and Sciences	Term: Spring 2017

Responses from 4 of the 21 enrolled (19%)

Offered: 04/21/17 - 05/05/17

Overall Effectiveness								
	Number Responding [VL=1, VH=5]						Statistics	
	VL	L	M	H	VH	OMIT	SD ¹	AVG
Obtained Responses								
1. Overall effectiveness as a teacher	0	0	1	1	2	0	0.8	4.3
11. Increased desire to learn about the subject	0	0	1	2	1	0	0.7	4.0
14. Amount learned in the course	0	1	0	1	2	0	1.2	4.0
	Statistics						Comparative Status ²	
	Raw			Adjusted ³			Raw	Adjusted ³
Averages and Comparative Status								
1. Overall effectiveness as a teacher	4.3			4.4			HM	HM
11. Increased desire to learn about the subject	4.0			4.3			M	HM
14. Amount learned in the course	4.0			4.2			M	HM

Ratings of Student Attributes and Instructional Styles								
	Number Responding [VL=1, VH=5]						Statistics	
	VL	L	M	H	VH	OMIT	SD ¹	AVG
Relevant Student Attributes								
12. Interest in the course before enrolling	1	0	1	1	1	0	1.5	3.3
13. Effort to learn in the course	0	0	0	4	0	0	0.0	4.0
Instructional Styles								
A. Establishing a Learning Climate								
2. Made the course goals and objectives clear	0	0	1	1	2	0	0.8	4.3
3. Well prepared for class	0	0	0	2	2	0	0.5	4.5
5. Interest in helping students learn	0	0	0	1	3	0	0.4	4.8
10. Willingness to help outside of class	0	0	1	0	3	0	0.9	4.5
B. Facilitating Student Learning								
4. Explained the subject clearly	0	1	0	2	1	0	1.1	3.8
6. Stimulated thinking about the subject	0	0	1	0	3	0	0.9	4.5
7. Made helpful comments on student work	0	0	1	2	1	0	0.7	4.0
8. Grading procedures fair and equitable	0	0	0	1	3	0	0.4	4.8
9. Realized when students did not understand	0	1	0	1	2	0	1.2	4.0

Instructor's Description of Class	
A. Type of class	Lecture, Tutoring/Help, Lecture
B. Class size	About right
C. Physical facilities	Exceptionally good
D. Previously taught this course?	None
E. Approach significantly different this term?	No
F. Description of teaching load?	Average
G. Attitude toward teaching this course	I didn't mind- was neutral
H. Control of course decisions	No- I had no part in the decisions
I. Differences in student preparation	Not a problem
J. Student enthusiasm	Mixed; both high and low
K. Student effort to learn	Variable; sometimes high, sometimes low
L. Additional comments?	No additional comments

¹ STANDARD DEVIATION

² RELATIVE TO KSU CLASSES RATED BY 10 OR MORE STUDENTS: H=UPPER 10%; HM=NEXT 20%; M=MIDDLE 40%; LM=NEXT 20%; L=LOWEST 10%

³ ADJUSTED FOR STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS & CLASS SIZE: SEE TEVAL GUIDE

Faculty Member: Miller, Joshua	Course Name: Plane Trigonometry	Course #: MATH 150
Hr./Days: 1230 T On Campus	College: Arts and Sciences	Term: Spring 2017

Mathematics

		1	2	3	4	5	OMIT	SD	AVG
1.1	Overall, the instructor is an excellent teacher.	0	0	1	1	2	0	0.8	4.3
1.2	The instructor communicates well.	0	0	2	1	1	0	0.8	3.8
1.3	The instructor was well-prepared for class and the presentations well-planned.	0	0	0	2	2	0	0.5	4.5
1.4	The instructor helped me learn the material	1	0	0	1	2	0	1.6	3.8
1.5	I feel free to ask the instructor questions in class and out of class.	0	0	0	0	4	0	0.0	5.0
1.6	I would recommend the instructor to a friend.	0	0	0	2	2	0	0.5	4.5

1 = strongly disagree | 2 = somewhat disagree | 3 = ambivalent | 4 = somewhat agree | 5 = strongly agree

Additional Comments

1.	Additional Comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mr. Miller has put in extra effort, outside of class, to help me with the material, and I appreciate that very much!
----	---------------------	--