

Faculty Member: **Miller, Joshua** Course Name: **Traditional(11952)**
Hr./Days: **1530 M On Campus** College: **Arts and Sciences**

Course #: **MATH 100**
Term: **Spring 2018**

Responses from 4 of the 17 enrolled (24%)

Offered: 05/04/18 - 05/09/18

Overall Effectiveness

	Number Responding [VL=1, VH=5]						Statistics	
	VL	L	M	H	VH	OMIT	SD ¹	AVG
Obtained Responses								
1. Overall effectiveness as a teacher	0	0	0	1	2	1	0.5	4.7
11. Increased desire to learn about the subject	0	0	0	1	2	1	0.5	4.7
14. Amount learned in the course	0	1	0	0	2	1	1.4	4.0
	Statistics						Comparative Status ²	
	Raw			Adjusted ³			Raw	Adjusted ³
Averages and Comparative Status								
1. Overall effectiveness as a teacher	4.7			4.4			H	HM
11. Increased desire to learn about the subject	4.7			4.3			H	HM
14. Amount learned in the course	4.0			3.6			M	LM

Ratings of Student Attributes and Instructional Styles

	Number Responding [VL=1, VH=5]						Statistics	
	VL	L	M	H	VH	OMIT	SD ¹	AVG
Relevant Student Attributes								
12. Interest in the course before enrolling	0	0	1	0	2	1	0.9	4.3
13. Effort to learn in the course	0	0	1	0	2	1	0.9	4.3
Instructional Styles								
A. Establishing a Learning Climate								
2. Made the course goals and objectives clear	0	0	0	0	3	1	0.0	5.0
3. Well prepared for class	0	0	0	1	2	1	0.5	4.7
5. Interest in helping students learn	0	0	0	1	2	1	0.5	4.7
10. Willingness to help outside of class	0	0	0	1	2	1	0.5	4.7
B. Facilitating Student Learning								
4. Explained the subject clearly	0	0	0	1	2	1	0.5	4.7
6. Stimulated thinking about the subject	0	0	0	1	2	1	0.5	4.7
7. Made helpful comments on student work	0	0	0	2	1	1	0.5	4.3
8. Grading procedures fair and equitable	0	0	0	1	2	1	0.5	4.7
9. Realized when students did not understand	0	0	0	0	3	1	0.0	5.0

Instructor's Description of Class

A. Type of class
B. Class size
C. Physical facilities
D. Previously taught this course?
E. Approach significantly different this term?
F. Description of teaching load?
G. Attitude toward teaching this course
H. Control of course decisions
I. Differences in student preparation
J. Student enthusiasm
K. Student effort to learn
L. Additional comments?

¹ STANDARD DEVIATION

² RELATIVE TO KSU CLASSES RATED BY 10 OR MORE STUDENTS: H=UPPER 10%; HM=NEXT 20%; M=MIDDLE 40%; LM=NEXT 20%; L=LOWEST 10%

³ ADJUSTED FOR STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS & CLASS SIZE: SEE TEVAL GUIDE

Faculty Member: Miller, Joshua	Course Name: Traditional(11952)	Course #: MATH 100
Hr./Days: 1530 M On Campus	College: Arts and Sciences	Term: Spring 2018

Mathematics

		1	2	3	4	5	OMIT	SD	AVG
1.1	Overall, the instructor is an excellent teacher.	0	0	0	1	2	1	0.5	4.7
1.2	The instructor communicates well.	0	0	0	1	2	1	0.5	4.7
1.3	The instructor was well-prepared for class and the presentations well-planned.	0	0	0	3	0	1	0.0	4.0
1.4	The instructor helped me learn the material	0	0	0	2	1	1	0.5	4.3
1.5	I feel free to ask the instructor questions in class and out of class.	0	0	0	1	2	1	0.5	4.7
1.6	I would recommend the instructor to a friend.	0	0	0	1	2	1	0.5	4.7

1 = strongly disagree | 2 = somewhat disagree | 3 = ambivalent | 4 = somewhat agree | 5 = strongly agree

Additional Comments

1.	Additional Comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Joshua Miller was so helpful throughout my semester! He was very friendly, and very helpful. Would absolutely recommend!
----	---------------------	--